How Aging Changes Financial Decision-Making:
Insights From Neuroeconomics

Financial decision-making is often modeled as stable over time: Investors have preferences, update beliefs and choose portfolios in predictable ways. But research at the intersection of neuroscience and finance paints a more complex — and more realistic — picture. How people process financial information depends not only on markets and incentives, but also on how the brain changes across the lifespan.

Neuroeconomics, a field that combines insights from neuroscience, psychology and economics, has revealed that financial decisions are shaped by three broad forces: the environment people find themselves in, the experiences they have accumulated and the biological changes that come with aging.

For finance professionals advising clients, designing products or shaping policy, the implications are substantial — especially as populations age and retirement assets grow.

At the core of this work is a simple idea: The brain systems that support learning, valuation and risk assessment are not static. They evolve over time, and those changes systematically affect financial behavior.

The Brain Behind Financial Decisions

Three interacting brain systems play a central role in financial decision-making. One system responds strongly to rewards and positive surprises, encouraging exploration and risk-taking. Another signals potential danger and uncertainty, promoting caution and avoidance. A third integrates information, supports learning and helps regulate emotions to guide strategic choices.

These systems allow people to learn from gains and losses, estimate future outcomes and assign value to financial opportunities. But their functioning depends on age. As the brain changes, so does the quality of financial learning — and with it, financial well-being.

Camelia Kuhnen, Ph.D.
Contributor to Avantis Investors

Camelia Kuhnen is a Professor of Finance and Sarah Graham Kenan Distinguished Scholar at the Kenan-Flagler Business School at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. She serves as the Faculty Director of the CREATE Economic Development Center at the Kenan Institute for Private Enterprise.

Her research focuses on household finance, neuroeconomics, and labor and finance, with an emphasis on understanding the effects of adversity on people's financial decision-making and their expectations about personal and macro-level economic outcomes.

Why Learning from Financial Outcomes Becomes Harder with Age

One of the clearest findings from neuroscience is that aging affects the brain's ability to learn from experience, particularly in environments that require updating beliefs about uncertain outcomes — exactly the kind of environments investors face.

Older adults perform just as well as younger adults on tasks that do not require learning from feedback. But when decisions depend on tracking outcomes over time — such as figuring out which investments are paying off — performance declines. This is not because older adults are less intelligent or less careful, but because the neural systems that encode feedback become noisier.

In practical terms, this means that older investors are more likely to make mistakes involving misunderstandings about which financial options are truly better. They may hold on to inferior assets, fail to recognize when conditions have changed, or take risks that are no longer justified by the environment. Importantly, these mistakes are more often *risk-seeking* errors rather than excessive conservatism, challenging the common belief that aging automatically leads to lower risk tolerance.

The underlying issue is that the brain's reward system becomes less precise at tracking outcomes. Signals that once clearly distinguished good from bad investments grow harder to interpret. Over time, this makes it more difficult to learn from market feedback.

Why Regime Changes Are Especially Challenging

Financial markets evolve. Strategies that worked decades ago may no longer apply, and economic regimes shift with technology, demographics and policy. Older adults are particularly vulnerable to these changes — not because they lack experience, but because their brains are less effective at detecting new patterns.

As people age, connectivity between brain regions involved in learning and valuation weakens. This makes it harder to update beliefs when familiar structures no longer apply. As a result, older investors may rely heavily on strategies that served them well in the past, even when those strategies are no longer appropriate.

For finance professionals, this helps explain a common advisory challenge: Clients who resist adjusting portfolios despite clear changes in economic conditions. The resistance is not simply behavioral inertia — it reflects a biological shift in how new information is processed.

Risk, Time and Trust: What Does — and Doesn't — Change with Age

While learning clearly changes with age, preferences themselves are more stable than many assume.

Evidence on age-related changes in risk tolerance is mixed. Some studies find that financial risk-taking declines later in life; others find little change. Much depends on how risk is measured and whether life-cycle factors — such as income needs or portfolio constraints — are taken into account. This suggests that observed portfolio choices often reflect circumstances rather than deep shifts in preferences.

Similarly, time preferences appear remarkably stable across adulthood. Older adults are not consistently more impatient or more patient than younger ones. Competing forces may cancel out: a greater focus on the present on one hand, and increased trust and reduced impulsivity on the other.

Where age *does* matter significantly is trust. Older adults are more likely to perceive others as trustworthy, even when cues suggest otherwise. At the neural level, warning signals that normally trigger caution in risky social situations are muted. This helps explain why older adults are disproportionately targeted — and victimized — by financial fraud.

From a regulatory and advisory standpoint, this is one of the most consequential age-related findings. Fraud susceptibility is not simply about education or vigilance; it reflects changes in how the brain processes social risk.

A More Nuanced View of Aging and Decision Quality

It would be a mistake to conclude that aging simply degrades financial decision-making. The picture is more nuanced.

Many older adults do not exhibit the typical learning deficits described above. Others compensate with experience, rules of thumb and emotional regulation. In fact, older adults often outperform younger ones in managing emotions, avoiding sunk-cost traps and maintaining discipline during stressful situations.

Some age-related changes may reflect shifts in motivation rather than deficits. As people grow older, they may prioritize emotional well-being over exploration, focusing on preserving resources rather than chasing new opportunities. From an evolutionary perspective, this may be adaptive.

For finance professionals, the takeaway is clear: Aging does not imply uniform decline. There is substantial heterogeneity, and well-designed decision environments can make a meaningful difference.

Designing Better Financial Environments for an Aging Population

If age-related challenges stem largely from learning and complexity, then solutions should focus on simplifying decisions and improving clarity.

Research consistently shows that reducing cognitive load improves financial decision quality for older adults. Clear presentation of expected values, visual summaries and explicit comparisons help compensate for noisier learning signals. When information is made concrete and graphical, performance gaps between younger and older adults shrink dramatically.

Tools that slow down decisions, highlight trade-offs and reduce reliance on emotional cues can also help protect against fraud. Regulatory measures that allow temporary pauses on suspicious transactions are particularly effective, as they insert a buffer at moments when trust may override caution.

Fintech innovations are beginning to incorporate these insights, offering dashboards that consolidate information, alerts that flag unusual activity and simplified metrics of financial health. However, most tools still focus on simplifying decisions rather than building long-term decision-making capacity.

What This Means for Finance Professionals

As populations age and wealth concentrates among older households, understanding how aging shapes financial decision-making is no longer optional. Advisors, asset managers, policymakers and product designers all operate in environments where age-related neural changes matter.

The key insight from neuroeconomics is not that older adults are “bad decision-makers,” but that they learn differently and respond differently to information. Financial advice that ignores this reality risks being ineffective — or worse, harmful.

The most successful approaches will be those that respect biological constraints, leverage strengths that come with age and design systems that support sound decisions across the lifespan. Finance has long focused on markets and incentives. The next frontier is understanding the brain behind the balance sheet.